

Assignments Rubric



	5 marks	4 marks	3 marks	2 marks	0 marks
Cover Page	Title, Student's Name, Teacher's Name, Course Intake month and year, Submission Date, Neatly finished-no errors	Evidence of four	Evidence of three	Evidence of two or less	No cover page
Citations	All cited works, both text and visual, are done in the correct format with no errors.	Some cited works, both text and visual, are done in the correct format. Inconsistencies evident	Few cited works, both text and visual, are done in the correct format.	Not Applicable	No citations
Appearance	Creative and attractive cover, clear organization, readable and neat, title page, table of contents.	Contains title page, table of contents	Poorly organized and difficult to read; lacking neatness.	No organization, missing significant criteria.	Absent structure and organization.
Support	Uses evidence appropriately and effectively, providing sufficient evidence and explanation to convince.	Begins to offer reasons to support its points, perhaps using varied kinds of evidence. Begins to interpret the evidence and explain connections between evidence and main ideas. Its examples bear some relevance.	Often uses generalizations to support its points. May use examples, but they may be obvious or not relevant. Often depends on unsupported opinion or personal experience, or assumes that evidence speaks for itself and needs no application to the point being discussed. Often has lapses in logic.	Depends on cliches or overgeneralizations for support, or offers little evidence of any kind. May be personal narrative rather than essay, or summary rather than analysis.	Uses irrelevant details or lacks supporting evidence entirely. May be unduly brief.
	61 - 80 marks	41 - 60 marks	21 - 40 marks	01 - 20 marks	0 marks
Content	Excels in responding to the assignment question. Interesting, demonstrates sophistication of thought. Central idea is clearly communicated, worth developing; limited enough to be manageable. Assignment recognizes some complexity of the subject: may acknowledge its contradictions, qualifications, or limits and follow out their logical implications. Understands and critically evaluates its sources, appropriately limits and defines terms.	A solid assignment, responding appropriately to the question. Clearly states a central idea, but may have minor lapses in development. Begins to acknowledge the complexity of central idea and the possibility of other points of view. Shows careful reading of sources, but may not evaluate them critically. Attempts to define terms, not always successfully.	Adequate but weaker and less effective, possibly responding less well to assignment question. Presents central idea in general terms, often depending on platitudes or cliches. Usually does not acknowledge other views. Shows basic comprehension of sources, perhaps with lapses in understanding. If it defines terms, often depends on dictionary definitions.	Does not have a clear central idea or does not respond appropriately to the assignment question. May be too vague or obvious to be developed effectively. Student may misunderstand sources.	Does not respond to the assignment question, lacks a central idea, and may neglect to use sources where necessary.